

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,483	08/07/2003	Leonard W. Halstrom	317P1US	1071
20577	7590 07/13/2006		EXAM	INER
LONG AND	CAMERON 1166 ALBERNI STRE	ĖΤ	NGUYEN, CA	MTU TRAN
	R, BC V6E 3Z3	<b></b>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3743	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			₩.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/635,483	HALSTROM, LEONARD W.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Camtu T. Nguyen	3743		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAPAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ap	oril 2006.			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10,12 and 14-23 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10,12 and 14-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage		

Attachment(s)

1) 🗀	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) 🔛 interview Summar	y (P10-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date
	Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Cher	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-5, in the reply filed on April 24, 2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 3, and 4 have been amended, according to the amendment filed on December 23, 2005.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on December 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. There no where in claims 1-5 where the device limits the device intended t be used as a diagnostic device and consequently is intended to be adjusted while a patient is asleep. The claims are rejected in the following manner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woo (U.S. Patent No. 6,012,920). Woo discloses in Figure 1 an articulating connector (8) for a mandibular repositioning appliance (6) comprises an upper and lower housings (10a, 10b) each pivotally

Art Unit: 3743

mounted on a pin (20) and rotatable about the axis of the pin (20), to selectively permit movement of the mandible in the lateral direction, thereby, providing movement of the upper housing (10a) relative to the lower housing (10b). With regards to the connector (8) protruding forwardly from the trays (2, 3), as illustrating in figure 1, the device is currently mounted on the side of the trays (2, 4). However, should the device mounted directly in front of the trays (2, 4), the connector (8) would be protruding forwardly from the trays (2, 4).

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3743

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camtu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4799. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Camtu Nguyen July 3, 2006

Henry Bennett Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700